

# MRI **From Picture to Proton**

---

Donald W. McRobbie

Elizabeth A. Moore

Martin J. Graves and

Martin R. Prince



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE  
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK  
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain  
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Donald W. McRobbie, Elizabeth A. Moore, Martin J. Graves and  
Martin R. Prince 2003

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception  
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,  
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written  
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2003

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

*Typeface* Utopia 8.5/12 *System* QuarkXPress® [SE]

*A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library*

*Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data*

MRI from picture to proton / Donald W. McRobbie . . . [et al].  
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 52319 2

1. Magnetic resonance imaging. I. McRobbie, Donald W., 1958–  
RC78.7.N83 M756 2003  
616.07'548–dc21 2002067238

ISBN 0 521 81859 1 hardback

ISBN 0 521 52319 2 paperback

# Contents

---

Acknowledgements	xi
<b>1 MR: What's the attraction?</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 It's not rocket science, but I like it	1
1.2 A brief history of medical imaging	2
1.3 How to use this book	4
Further reading	6

## Part A The basic stuff

---

<b>2 Early daze: your first week in MR</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Welcome to the MR unit	9
2.3 Safety first	13
2.4 The patient's journey	15
2.5 Basic clinical protocols	17
2.6 A week in the life of an MRI radiographer	23
Further reading	25
<b>3 Seeing is believing: introduction to image contrast</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 Some basic stuff	27
3.3 $T_1$ -weighted images	30
3.4 $T_2$ -weighted images	31
3.5 PD-weighted images	34
3.6 Gradient-echo $T_1$ -weighted images	35
3.7 Gradient-echo $T_2^*$ -weighted images	36
3.8 Gradient-echo PD-weighted images	39
3.9 STIR images	39
3.10 FLAIR images	40

3.11 Contrast agents	41	7.4 Something to get excited about: the image slice	112
3.12 Angiographic images	44	7.5 In-plane localization	117
Further reading	45	7.6 Consequences of Fourier imaging	128
<b>4 The devil's in the detail: pixels, matrices and slices</b>	46	7.7 Speeding it up	132
4.1 Introduction	46	7.8 3D FT	133
4.2 Digital and analogue images	46	Further reading	134
4.3 Matrices, pixels and an introduction to resolution	51	<b>8 Getting in tune: resonance and relaxation</b>	135
4.4 Displaying images	55	8.1 Introduction	135
4.5 What do the pixels represent?	56	8.2 Spinning nuclei	135
4.6 From 2D to 3D	58	8.3 Measuring the magnetic moment	138
Further reading	62	8.4 Creating echoes	141
<b>5 What you set is what you get: basic image optimization</b>	63	8.5 Relaxation times	146
5.1 Introduction	63	8.6 Relaxation time mechanisms	152
5.2 Looking on the bright side: what are we trying to optimize?	63	8.7 Measuring relaxation times in vivo	157
5.3 Trading places: resolution, SNR and scan time	67	8.8 Contrast agent theory	160
5.4 Ever the optimist: practical steps to optimization	71	Further reading	163
Further reading	76	<b>9 Let's talk technical: MR equipment</b>	164
<b>6 Improving your image: how to avoid artefacts</b>	77	9.1 Introduction	164
6.1 Introduction	77	9.2 Magnets	164
6.2 Keep still please: gross patient motion	77	9.3 Gradients	170
6.3 Physiological motion	78	9.4 Radiofrequency system	174
6.4 Motion artefacts from flow	84	9.5 Computer systems	185
6.5 Lose the fat!	86	9.6 Open MRI systems	185
6.6 Partial volume artefact and cross-talk	94	9.7 Siting and installation	187
6.7 Phase sampling artefacts	96	Further reading	188
6.8 Susceptibility and metal artefacts	99	<b>10 But is it safe? Bio-effects</b>	189
6.9 Equipment artefacts	101	10.1 Introduction	189
6.10 What's causing this artefact?	105	10.2 Radiofrequency effects	189
Further reading	105	10.3 Gradient effects	191
<b>7 Spaced out: spatial encoding</b>	106	10.4 Static field effects	193
7.1 Introduction	106	Further reading	197
7.2 Anatomy of a pulse sequence	106	<b>Part B The specialist stuff</b>	
7.3 From Larmor to Fourier via gradients	107	<b>11 Ghosts in the machine: quality control</b>	201
		11.1 Introduction	201
		11.2 The quality cycle	201
		11.3 Signal parameters	202
		11.4 Geometric parameters	209

11.5 Relaxation parameters	214	<b>15 It's not just squiggles: in vivo spectroscopy</b>	300
11.6 Artefacts	215	15.1 Introduction	300
11.7 Spectroscopic QA	216	15.2 Some basic chemistry	301
Further reading	217	15.3 Single-voxel spectroscopy	304
<b>12 Acronyms anonymous: a guide to the pulse sequence jungle</b>	218	15.4 Processing of single-voxel spectra	310
12.1 Introduction	218	15.5 Chemical shift imaging	312
12.2 Getting above the trees: a sequences overview	218	15.6 Phosphorus spectroscopy	313
12.3 RARING to go: spin-echo-based techniques	220	15.7 Other nuclei	315
12.4 Spoiled for choice: gradient echo	232	Further reading	316
12.5 Ultra-fast GE imaging	245	<b>16 To BOLDly go: new frontiers</b>	317
12.6 Pulse sequence conversion chart	252	16.1 Introduction	317
Further reading	252	16.2 EPI acquisition methods	317
<b>13 Go with the flow: MR angiography</b>	255	16.3 Diffusion imaging	322
13.1 Introduction	255	16.4 Perfusion imaging	328
13.2 Effect of flow in conventional imaging techniques	255	16.5 Brain activation mapping using the BOLD effect	333
13.3 Time-of-flight MR angiography	260	16.6 Hyperpolarized gases	337
13.4 Phase-contrast angiography	264	16.7 New reconstruction methods	339
13.5 Contrast-enhanced MR angiography	269	16.8 The final frontier	342
13.6 Novel contrast agents	273	Further reading	342
Further reading	277	<b>Appendix: maths revision</b>	343
<b>14 A heart to heart discussion: cardiac MRI</b>	278	A.1 Vectors	343
14.1 Introduction	278	A.2 Sine and cosine waves	344
14.2 Artefact challenges	278	A.3 Exponentials	345
14.3 Morphological imaging	281	A.4 Complex numbers	345
14.4 Functional imaging	281	A.5 Simple Fourier analysis	346
14.5 Cine phase-contrast velocity mapping	293	A.6 Some useful constants	347
14.6 Myocardial perfusion imaging	295	<b>Index</b>	348
14.7 Myocardial viability	297	<i>Colour plates between pages 324 and 325.</i>	
14.8 Coronary artery imaging	297		
Further reading	299		

## MR: What's the attraction?

### 1.1 It's not rocket science, but I like it

How would you impress a stranger you meet at a party with your intelligence? You might claim to be a brain surgeon or a rocket scientist. Well Magnetic Resonance (MR) is not rocket science, it's better than that. MR involves an amazing combination of advanced science and engineering, including the use of superconductivity, cryogenics, quantum physics, digital and computer technology – and all within the radiology department of your local hospital. MR imaging has evolved from unpromising beginnings in the 1970s to become nowadays the imaging method of choice for a large proportion of radiological examinations and the 'jewel in the crown' of medical technology. A modern MRI scanner is shown in figure 1.1.

So what is it? It is an imaging method based principally upon sensitivity to the presence and properties of water, which makes up 70% to 90% of most tissues. The properties and amount of water in tissue can alter dramatically with disease and injury which makes MR very sensitive as a diagnostic technique. MR detects subtle changes in the magnetism of the nucleus, the tiny entity that lies at the heart of the atom. This is probing deeper than X-rays, which interact with the clouds or shells of the electrons that orbit the nucleus. MR is a truly powerful modality. At its most advanced, MR can be used not just to image anatomy and pathology but to investigate organ function, to probe *in vivo* chemistry and even to visualize the brain thinking.

In the early days, the scanners were the domain of the physicists and engineers who invented and built them, and the technique was called NMR imaging (NMR

stands for nuclear magnetic resonance). The cynics may say that the technique really took off clinically when the 'N-word' was dropped. This was sensible as the term 'nuclear', although scientifically accurate, implied a connection with nuclear energy and, in the last of the cold war years, resonated in the public's mind with the spectre of nuclear weapons.

Because of the diversity of sciences and technologies that gave birth to and continue to nurture MR, it is an extremely hard subject to learn. A lifetime is not enough to become expert in every aspect. Clinicians, technologists and scientists all struggle with the study of the subject. The result is sometimes an obscurity of understanding or a dilution of scientific truth resulting in misconceptions. This is why we have chosen to write this book. Our aim is to introduce you to MR as a tool –



Figure 1.1 Modern superconducting MR system. Courtesy of Philips Medical Systems.

rather like learning to drive a car. Once you are confident on the road, we can then start to learn how the engine works.

### 1.2 A brief history of medical imaging

---

Radiology began after the accidental discovery of 'X-rays' by Roentgen in 1895. At about the same time (1896) Becquerel and the Curies were discovering radioactivity and radium and making possible the future development of nuclear medicine. Within a couple of years most of the basic techniques of radiography were established, e.g. the use of fluorescent screens (Pupin 1896), contrast media (Lindenthal 1896), even the principle of angiography. Early fluoroscopy entailed direct viewing from a fluorescent plate, i.e. putting your head in the main beam, a practice frowned upon today! Unfortunately radiation protection followed slightly too late for the pioneers of radiology. The next real technical breakthrough was the development of the image intensifier in the 1950s, but the basis of conventional radiography remained the same until the recent IT and digital revolutions. Computed Tomography (CT) was a huge breakthrough earning Hounsfield and Cormack the Nobel Prize for medicine and physiology in 1979. X-ray CT was unique in producing tomographic images or slices of the living human body for the first time and with a higher contrast than achievable by conventional planar techniques. The combination of a moving X-ray gantry and the computing power necessary to reconstruct from projections made CT possible.

In nuclear medicine a similar evolution was occurring, from the development of the gamma camera by Anger in 1958 to tomographic imaging in the form of Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) and Positron Emission Tomography (PET) which is ongoing today. Even now PET is not in common clinical use although its ability to image minute concentrations of metabolites is unique and makes it a powerful research tool in the aetiology of disease and effect of drugs.

Ultrasound was developed in the 1950s following the development of SONAR in World War II and was unique in involving no ionizing radiation and offering the pos-

sibility of safe, noninvasive imaging. Its ability to image in real time and its sensitivity to flow, through the Doppler effect, have been key factors in its widespread role in obstetrics, cardiology, vascular disease and for real-time biopsy guidance and minimally invasive surgery.

The initial concept for the medical application of NMR, as it was then called, originated with the discovery by Raymond Damadian in 1971 that certain mouse tumours displayed elevated relaxation times compared with normal tissues *in vitro*. This exciting discovery opened the door for a complete new way of imaging the human body where the potential contrast between tissues and disease was many times greater than that offered by X-ray technology and ultrasound (figure 1.2). At the same time developments in cryogenics, or the study of very low temperatures, made the development of whole-body superconducting magnets possible. Damadian and his colleagues at the State University of New York, starved of mainstream research funding, went so far as to design and build their own superconducting magnet operating in their Brooklyn laboratory and the first human body image by NMR is attributed to them. There is some dispute about who actually is the founder of modern Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), but one thing is certain, Damadian coined the first MR acronym, namely FONAR (Field fOcussed Nuclear mAgnetic Resonance). This set a trend, and you can see the development of the acronym family tree in chapter 12!

In 1973, in an article in *Nature*, Paul Lauterbur proposed using magnetic field gradients to distinguish between NMR signals originating from different locations. This is the basis of all modern MRI. Unfortunately Lauterbur's brilliant invention was not accompanied by a brilliant acronym; he coined the obscure term 'zeugmatography', meaning imaging from a joining together (of the main field and the gradients). In contemporary MR terms Lauterbur can be said to have invented frequency encoding. Whilst the term 'zeugmatography' sunk without trace, fortunately the technique it described has gone from strength to strength.

Selective excitation, or the sensitization of tomographic image slices, was invented at the University of Nottingham, England in 1974 by Sir Peter Mansfield's




Figure 1.2 Raymond Damadian's "Apparatus and method for detecting cancer in tissue". US patent 3789832 filed 17 March 1972, issued 5 February 1974. Image from the US Patent and Trademark Office.

group, whilst in 1975 Richard Ernst's group in Zurich invented two-dimensional Fourier transform imaging (2D FT). The first practical 2D FT imaging method, dubbed 'spin warp', was developed by Edelstein and Hutchison at the University of Aberdeen, Scotland in 1980. Many other researchers contributed to the early development of MR, and in this short introduction it is impossible to do justice to them all (see Further reading).

And what of the commercial development? EMI, the creators of X-ray CT through Sir Godfrey Hounsfield, were involved from very early on and Clow and Young produced the first published human head image in 1978 (figure 1.3). EMI sold their research interest to Picker International, now Marconi (recently sold to Philips). The 'Neptune' 0.15T superconducting system installed at the Hammersmith Hospital, London, was the first commercial clinical system. Elsewhere in Europe, Philips Medical Systems also dedicated sub-

stantial early investment (figure 1.4). General Electric introduced high field systems in around 1984. The technique developed rapidly through the late 1980s to become the method of choice for nontrauma neurological scanning. By 1996 there were in excess of 10000 scanners worldwide.

Due to problems of low signal and high sensitivity to motion, body MR did not really take off until the mid 1990s. The key factors were the development of fast imaging techniques, particularly gradient echo, and phased array coil technology. The 1990s also saw the coming of age of earlier developments, namely cardiac MRI and Echo Planar Imaging (EPI). EPI, which is the fastest and one of the most cutting edge methods, was actually one of the first imaging methods to be proposed, by Sir Peter Mansfield. EPI is now extensively used in neurological imaging through functional MRI (fMRI) and diffusion imaging.






Figure 1.3 First ever human head image using MRI at 0.1 T from EMI Central Research Laboratories. For this image CT type “back projection” was used. Courtesy of Ian Young.




Figure 1.4 Walker 0.1 T resistive magnet used by Philips in the early development of MRI. Courtesy of Philips Medical Systems.

### The early history of NMR

‘Nuclear induction’, as it was first described, was discovered in 1945, soon after the close of World War II, by Bloch and independently by Purcell and Pound. It is said that the development of radio communications in the war effort, to which Purcell had contributed scientifically, was one of the factors underpinning this important scientific discovery. Another important factor, as in the development of atomic physics, was the expulsion or fleeing of European physicists from the Nazi regime, an exodus that included Bloch and Bloembergen. What did these MR pioneers discover? That you can detect a signal (a voltage in a coil) when you place a sample in a magnetic field and irradiate it with radiofrequency (RF) energy of a certain frequency, the resonant or Larmor frequency. The signal is produced by the interaction of the sample nuclei with the magnetic field. The spin echo was ‘stumbled upon’ by Hahn in 1949. He discovered that you could get a repeat of the NMR signal at a delayed time by adding a second burst of RF energy. That’s all you need to know for now. So what were NMR researchers doing between the forties and the seventies – that’s a long time in cultural and scientific terms. The answer: they were doing chemistry, including Lauterbur, a professor of chemistry at the same institution as Damadian. NMR developed into a laboratory spectroscopic technique capable of examining the molecular structure of compounds, until Damadian’s ground-breaking discovery in 1971.

### 1.3 How to use this book

---

Everyone starts MRI with the same basic problem: it’s like nothing else they’ve learnt in the past. All that knowledge you have about radioactive isotopes and film-screen combinations is useless to you now. Where do you start? Most MRI books start at the beginning (a very good place to start, according to the song), and introduce protons, net magnetization, precession and the Larmor equation all in the first three pages. We think there is another way, starting at the end with the images

### The spin doctors: Nobel Laureates' roll-call (figure 1.5)

In 1952 Edward Purcell (Harvard) and Felix Bloch (Stanford) jointly received the Nobel Prize for physics 'for their development of new methods for nuclear magnetic precision measurements and discoveries in connection therewith'. Of Purcell's discovery, the *Boston Herald* reported that 'it wouldn't revolutionize industry or help the housewife'. Purcell himself stated that 'we are dealing not merely with a new tool but a new subject which I have simply called nuclear magnetism. If you will think of the history of ordinary magnetism, the electronic kind, you will remember that it has been rich in difficult and provocative problems and full of surprises.' It seems that the *Boston Herald* misjudged the importance of NMR!

Bloch, a Swiss-born Jew and friend of quantum physicist Werner Heisenberg, quit his post in Leipzig in 1933 in disgust at the Nazi's expulsion of German Jews (as a Swiss citizen, Bloch himself was exempt). Bloch's subsequent career at Stanford was crammed with major contributions to physics and he has been called 'the father of solid state physics'.

Nicolaas Bloembergen, a Dutch citizen, was forced to hide from the Nazis for the duration of the War, reputedly living on boiled tulip bulbs, until becoming Purcell's first graduate student at Harvard two months after the discovery of NMR. With Purcell and Robert Pound he developed the theory of NMR relaxation, known now by their initials BPP. In 1981 he won a Nobel Prize for his work in laser spectroscopy.

In 1991 Richard Ernst joined the MRI Nobel Laureates 'for his contributions to the development of the methodology of high resolution nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy'. You could say Richard Ernst achieved the same trick twice: by his novel applications of 2D FT in both spectroscopy and imaging.

Other Nobel Laureates associated with NMR include Norman Ramsay (1989), a spectroscopy pioneer who developed the theory of the chemical shift, and Isidor Rabi (1944), Ramsey's PhD mentor, 'for his resonance method for recording the magnetic properties of atomic nuclei'.



Figure 1.5 Nobel prize-winners in NMR: (a) Purcell 1912–1997, (b) Bloch 1901–1999, (c) Bloembergen b. 1920 and (d) Ernst b. 1933. Courtesy of the Nobel Museum.

that are produced, which is much more useful if you're already working in the MR unit. After all, you don't expect to understand how the internal combustion engine works before you learn to drive.

The book is divided into two parts. In part A you will find everything you need to know about the basics of MRI, but presented in reverse order. We start with things you can touch and look at: the equipment you find in an MR unit and what the images look like, using terms like ' $T_1$ -weighted' simply as labels. Later on we talk about how the images are produced and finally we cover the underlying physics. By that stage you will be able to link these rather difficult concepts back to things which matter – the images.

Part B contains more advanced topics, such as cardiac MR and spectroscopy, in no particular order. You don't have to work right through part A before you read these chapters, we just couldn't fit them neatly into the reverse order!

In all the chapters you will find the most basic information in the main text. Advanced boxes, shaded in blue, deal with various topics in more detail and are placed at appropriate places through the text. If you're completely new to MR, we suggest you read straight through skipping all the advanced boxes. When you need to understand something a bit better, re-read the chapter this time taking in the blue boxes. The topics can seem to jump around a bit by splitting them up this way, but we think it is a good compromise, which allows us to include enough information for everyone, whether you are a new radiographer hoping to make a good impression in your new job, or a physicist studying for a postgraduate degree.

### FURTHER READING

- Christie DA and Tansey EM (eds) (1996) *Making the Human Body Transparent: The Impact of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. London: Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine. Available from: [http://www.wellcome.ac.uk/en/images/witness\\_vol2\\_pdf\\_1905.pdf](http://www.wellcome.ac.uk/en/images/witness_vol2_pdf_1905.pdf) [accessed 24th October 2001]
- Mattson J and Simon M (1996) *The Pioneers of NMR and Magnetic Resonance in Medicine: The Story of MRI*. Jericho, NY: Dean Books Co (ISBN: 0961924314)
- Thomas AM, Isherwood I and Wells PNT (eds) (1995) *Invisible Light: 100 Years of Medical Radiology*. Oxford: Blackwell Science (ISBN: 0865426279)